Not to be used for simplified procedures where only one tender was received

**< Letterhead of the contracting authority >**

**AWARD DECISION**

PUBLICATION REF: <Ref>  
  
<Contract title>

[Lot number and lot title: <number and title> ]

Maximum budget: <amount and currency>

The contracting authority, having examined the evaluation report prepared by the evaluation committee on the <date>, acknowledges that the evaluation committee recommends that <tenderer name> is awarded the contract with a contract value of [EUR] [<ISO code of the country of the contracting authority> only for indirect management] <amount>.

The contracting authority

[approves the evaluation report.

Choose an option:

[Following the evaluation committee's recommendation, the contracting authority takes the decision to award the contract to <tenderer name>, the latter being the tenderer who provides the most economically advantageous tender while meeting the selection criteria.]

Or: [However, the contracting authority cannot follow the evaluation committee's recommendation for the following reason(s): <explain>. Therefore, the contracting authority takes the decision to award the contract to <tenderer name> which, while meeting the selection criteria <insert the reasons>.]

[For contracts awarded following a competitive dialogue: The recourse to the competitive dialogue was justified by the following circumstances <insert>.]

]

[has decided not to award the contract for the following reason(s): <explain>.]

**Name and signature:**

**Date:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **[Approved by the European Commission** only in the event of ex-ante control by the European Commission | |
| Name: |
| Title: |
| Signature: |
| Date: **]** |

Enclosure: Evaluation report